

Joseph R. Re (State Bar No. 134,479)
joe.re@knobbe.com
Marko R. Zoretic (State Bar No. 233,952)
marko.zoretic@knobbe.com
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2040 Main Street
Fourteenth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Phone: (949) 760-0404
Facsimile: (949) 760-9502

JS-6

Attorneys for Aura Interactive, Inc.
(d/b/a The Aura Group)

Jon E. Maki (State Bar No. 199,958)
jonmaki.esq@gmail.com
LAW OFFICE OF JON E. MAKI
4135 Calle Isabelino
San Diego, California 92130
Phone: (858) 876-2580
Facsimile: (858) 876-1915

Attorneys for Interpols Network Incorporated

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN DIVISION

INTERPOLS NETWORK
INCORPORATED, a California
corporation,

Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,
v.

AURA INTERACTIVE, INC, a
California corporation, and THE
AURA GROUP,

Defendant/Counterclaimant

SACV 12-00832 JVS(JPRX)

STIPULATED FINAL JUDGMENT

Hon. James V. Selna

1 On January 6, 2014, this Court granted The Motion for Partial Summary
2 Judgment of Non-Infringement filed by Defendant Aura Interactive, Inc., d/b/a
3 The Aura Group, (“Aura”) because Aura does not did not infringe as a matter of
4 law U.S. Patent Nos. 7,673,017 and 8,204,961, asserted by Interpols Network
5 Inc. (“Interpols”).

6 Thereafter, Interpols and Aura entered into a stipulation under which
7 Interpols agreed to dismiss with prejudice its state-law claim alleging unfair
8 business practices under California Business and Professions Code § 17200 *et*
9 *seq.* and Aura agreed to dismiss its counterclaims seeking declaratory judgments
10 of invalidity of the ’017 patent and the ’961 patents without prejudice. In
11 accordance with the above-described order and stipulation,

12 IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

13 (1) Judgment is entered in favor of Aura and against Interpols as to the
14 claims of infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,673,017 and 8,204,961 set forth in
15 Interpols’s First Amended Complaint and as to Aura’s counterclaims seeking
16 declarations of non-infringement of those patents as set forth in the Answer of
17 Aura;

18 (2) Interpols’s claims against Aura alleging unfair business practices
19 under California Business and Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.* are dismissed,
20 with prejudice;

21 (3) Aura’s counterclaims against Interpols seeking declaratory
22 judgments of invalidity of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,673,017 and 8,204,961 are
23 dismissed, without prejudice; and


24 (4) Interpols takes nothing by way of its claims asserted against Aura.

25 (5) Aura, as prevailing party, is entitled to recover its costs pursuant to
26 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d), in an amount to be determined and
27 subject to documentation and verification. Interpols reserves the right to object
28 to Aura’s bill of costs.

1 Because no claims are remaining in this action, the Court expressly
2 directs the Clerk to enter this Final Judgment as set forth above pursuant to
3 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58.

4
5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6
7 Dated: February 28, 2014

8 
9
10 James V. Selna
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

17000672

010914